

It is important to clarify that shifting the Igvak allocation as Proposals 58 through 62 propose to do does not implicate, much less violate, sustained yield or common use principles. None of the Igvak proposals restrict or limit entry into the Igvak fishery. Even if they did, Alaska has long recognized that limiting entry into a fishery does not violate common use principles. The Igvak proposals merely ask the Board to shift the allocation of sockeye salmon within the Igvak fishery. The Board has statutory authority (and a statutory duty) to make allocation decisions, and allocating a resource between users does not violate sustained yield or common use principles.