

Board of Fisheries
Anchorage AK Board meeting
Attn: Chair John Jensen and Glenn Haight, executive director Board Support
Re: Formal complaint on actions taken on public proposal 171
Date: March 1, 2017

Dear Chairman Jensen,

I contacted Glenn Haight and spoke with Mr. Beausang on final action over Proposal 171. Public Proposal 171 was immediately substituted on the record through Board member Robert Ruffner and his RC 107 which affected Board action on proposal 171 submitted under the public proposal process and Alaska's Administrative Procedures Act.

RC 107 was submitted by Board member Ruffner dated February 28th as substitute language to exclude the East Foreland Section in regulations - instead of the Kasilof Section. However, the result of such action's undermined due process on the author's proposal 171 and severely affected the public proposal process. In fact, Mr. Ruffner stated on the record a personal phone call (hearsay) was the "reason" for RC 107. However, instead of putting a proposal forward according to Administrative Procedure Act by Mr. Ruffner of some other party that includes Board deadline procedure process and the public comment period - none of which was followed.

Instead, the author of proposal 171 relied on the triannual Board meeting process to address regulations and concerns to the Board as accorded under the Administrative Procedure Act.

Mr. Goodman worked on the proposal two years before submitting proposal 171. I personally was asked to review for regulatory language and fact. Mr. Goodman is out of state at this time under contract work.

This letter is a formal complaint on the Board actions that affected Proposal 171.

Not one word of Proposal 171 was brought to the record for deliberation due to the actions taken by Mr. Ruffner. In fact, Mr. Ruffner was contacted by Mr. Beaudoin before Deliberations and informed Mr. Ruffner of RC 117 over the low harvest rates on Kenai kings in the Kasilof Section by discussion.

I respectfully request full consideration that pertains to concerns described above on this matter. After all, statistical areas in the Kasilof Section represent similar low catch rates and smaller age class levels by harvest as the East Forelands as matter of Fact.

I respectfully request reconsideration for Proposal 171 brought to the record and fully consider the issues as outlined in Proposal 171 through discussion on the record.

Sincerely,



Jeff Beaudoin on behalf of Jeff Goodman